



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/805,605	03/22/2004	William John Hoeft	9311		
7.	590 11/28/2006	EXAMINER			
WILLIAM J HOEFT 1238 KLAUS ST. GREEN-BAY, WI 54302			OKEZIE, ESTHER O		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3652		
	,	DATE MAILED: 11/28/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/805,6	05	HOEFT, WILLIAM JOHN				
Office Action Summary				Art Unit				
		Esther O.		3652				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicat or Reply	ion appears on the	e cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	dress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL STATE OF THE MAIL STATE	ING DATE OF THE CORN IN 1.136(a). In no evaluation. y period will apply and we by statute, cause the apply the apply statute.	HIS COMMUNICATIO. ent, however, may a reply be til ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from dication to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this co ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <u>07 August 2006</u>	<u>)</u> .					
•		☐ This action is r	•					
3)[Since this application is in condition for	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4) 🖾	Claim(s) 4 is/are pending in the applica	ion.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			,				
6)⊠	Claim(s) 4 is/are rejected.				•			
7) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicat	ion Papers			·	,			
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b	objected to by the	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	at(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	948)	Paper No(s)/Mail E 5) Notice of Informal					
	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:							
			•					

Application/Control Number: 10/805,605

Art Unit: 3652

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claim(s) are narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language. The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device. The claim(s) must be in one sentence form only. Note the format of the claims in the patent(s) cited.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Davidson US 4,050,728. Davidson discloses a hinge 42 connected to the base plate 36 with one bolt 44 to swivel up and down; the hinge has two holes (see figure 2) where it can be bolted

Application/Control Number: 10/805,605

Art Unit: 3652

to the base plate and a means for attaching the auxiliary handle to the hinge including straps 45 and bolts 47; the base plate 36 is flat at the upper region; the hinge 42 enables the auxiliary handle to rotate left and right, up and down, but not clockwise or counterclockwise as seen in figure 1. Davidson provides a means for non-swivel and swivel mode of the auxiliary handle in that either of the screws (44, and 46) that provide both movements are removable (col. 2, lines 37-45; see Figure 2).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 8/29/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Davidson provides a means for non-swivel and swivel mode of the auxiliary handle in that either of the screws (44, and 46) that provide both movements are removable (col. 2, lines 37-45; see Figure 2). If either left or right movement or up or down movement is preferred either pin can be removed to so that either movement can be prohibited.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Storlie US D441,623 S.

An examination of this application reveals that applicant is unfamiliar with patent prosecution procedure. While an inventor may prosecute the application, lack of skill in this field usually acts as a liability in affording the maximum protection for the invention disclosed. Applicant is advised to secure the services of a registered patent attorney or

Application/Control Number: 10/805,605

Art Unit: 3652

agent to prosecute the application, since the value of a patent is largely dependent upon skilled preparation and prosecution. The Office cannot aid in selecting an attorney or agent.

A listing of registered patent attorneys and agents is available on the USPTO Internet web site http://www.uspto.gov in the Site Index under "Attorney and Agent Roster." Applicants may also obtain a list of registered patent attorneys and agents located in their area by writing to the Mail Stop OED, Director of the U. S. Patent and Trademark Office, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

This action is a **final rejection** and is intended to close the prosecution of this application. Applicant's reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to this action is limited either to an appeal to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences or to an amendment complying with the requirements set forth below.

If applicant should desire to appeal any rejection made by the examiner, a Notice of Appeal must be filed within the period for reply identifying the rejected claim or claims appealed. The Notice of Appeal must be accompanied by the required appeal fee.

If applicant should desire to file an amendment, entry of a proposed amendment after final rejection cannot be made as a matter of right unless it merely cancels claims or complies with a formal requirement made earlier. Amendments touching the merits of the application which otherwise might not be proper may be admitted upon a showing a good and sufficient reasons why they are necessary and why they were not presented earlier.

Application/Control Number: 10/805,605 Page 5

Art Unit: 3652

A reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection must include the appeal from, or cancellation of, each rejected claim. The filing of an amendment after final rejection, whether or not it is entered, does not stop the running of the statutory period for reply to the final rejection unless the examiner holds the claims to be in condition for allowance. Accordingly, if a Notice of Appeal has not been filed properly within the period for reply, or any extension of this period obtained under either 37 CFR 1.136(a) or (b), the application will become abandoned.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Esther O. Okezie whose telephone number is (571) 272-8108. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 8-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eileen D. Lillis can be reached on (571) 272-6928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/805,605 Page 6

Art Unit: 3652

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

EOO 11/26/06

EILEEN D. LILLIS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

lo III